1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney
2	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division
4	EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 722)
5	Assistant United States Attorney EDEVSOOAL DECE
6	150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113
7	Telephone: (408) 535-5061 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066
8	Email: Eumi.Choi@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for the United States of America
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 11-00217 DLJ
14	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM JUNE
15	v.) 9, 2012, THROUGH JULY 26, 2012, FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
16	JENNIPHER HERNANDEZ) CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §
17	Defendant. $ \begin{array}{c}) & 3161(h)(7)(A),(B)) \\) & \end{array} $
18	
19	
20	A status hearing is currently set for June 7, 2012, on the Court's calendar. Due to on-
21	going discussions between counsel about a potential resolution of the matter, the parties have
22	agreed to seek to move the hearing date to July 26, 2012, if that date is available to the Court.
23	The United States hereby submits this written request for an order finding that said time
24	is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, in that the ends of justice are served
25	by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy
26	trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably
27	
28	
	CB 10 00924 DL I

1

STIPULATION AND [] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME

1 2 3	deny counsel for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
4 5	DATED: June 6, 2012 MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
6	/s/
7	EUMI L. CHOI Assistant United States Attorney
8	/s/
9	ROBERT LYONS Attorney for Defendant
10	
11	ORDER
12	Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY
13	ORDERS that the time from June 7, 2012, through July 26, 2012, is excluded under the Speedy
14	Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds that the ends of justice are served by taking such
15	action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §
16	3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for
17	defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
18	exercise of due diligence. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be
19	made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).
20	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	Î ĐĐ ĐTG
23	DATED:
24	D. LOWELL JENSEN United States District Court Judge
25	
26	
27	
28	